

To: Mayor & City Council

Through: City Manager

Agenda Item Number: 31

Meeting Date: 3/22/01

SUBJECT: INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT AMENDMENTS WITH THE CITY OF

PHOENIX AND THE REGIONAL PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

(RPTA) FOR REGIONAL TRANSIT SERVICES

PREPARED BY: CARLOS DE LEON, ASSISTANT TRANSIT MANAGER (350-8527)

REVIEWED BY: MARY O'CONNOR, TRANSIT MANAGER (350-8819)

GLENN KEPHART, DEPUTY PUBLIC WORKS MANAGER (350-8205)

BRIEF: Authorize the Mayor to sign intergovernmental agreement amendments with the City of

Phoenix for the provision of fixed route bus service, and with the RPTA for fixed route

bus service, dial-a-ride service, and regional customer service activities.

COMMENTS: PUBLIC TRANSIT (1106) Authorize the Mayor to sign intergovernmental agreements

amendments with the City of Phoenix for bus service, and with the RPTA for bus service,

dial-a-ride service, and regional customer service activities.

Document Name: (20010322pwcdl01) Supporting Documents: Yes

SUMMARY: In June 2000, the Mayor and Council approved five year intergovernmental agreements

with the City of Phoenix and RPTA for selected regional routes operating in Tempe, dial-a-ride service and regional customer service. Each year these agreements are

amended to reflect changes in bus schedules, hours of operation, or routes.

For FY 00-01, the Tempe/Phoenix intergovernmental agreement amendment states the City of Phoenix Public Transit Department will provide approximately 154,909 annual miles of fixed route bus service in Tempe. The cost of this service is offset by fares collected from passengers and budgeted City of Tempe LTAF (lottery) and sales tax

monies.

For FY 00-01, the Tempe/RPTA fixed route, dial-a-ride, and customer service intergovernmental agreement amendments state that:

- RPTA will provide approximately 961,000 annual miles of fixed route bus service paid for by the City of Tempe, with additional mileage provided by RPTA in Tempe through their 1985 Proposition 300 monies.
- The City of Tempe will provide approximately 640,000 annual miles of fixed route bus service in neighboring cities and in Tempe. This service is paid for by those cities through RPTA and the RPTA 1985 Proposition 300 monies.
- RPTA will also provide coordinated dial-a-ride service throughout Tempe, Chandler, Gilbert, Mesa and Scottsdale with 19,503 revenue service hours in Tempe.
- RPTA will provide regional customer communications and customer service for bus service operated in Tempe.

The cost of services provided by RPTA is offset by passenger fares, capital cost of contracting grants, RPTA Community Funded Transit allocations, a State grant funded by the Vehicle License Tax and budgeted City of Tempe LTAF and sales tax monies.

FISCAL NOTE: Costs for transit services have been budgeted in the FY 00-01 transit operating budget. The estimated cost for FY 00-01 transit service under the City of Phoenix contract (cost center 3911, account 6620) is \$619,456. The estimated cost for FY 00-01 service under the RPTA contact is \$2,570,110 for fixed route transit service and \$842,419 for customer service activities (cost center 3911, account 6620) and \$811,184 for dial-a-ride service (cost center 3911, account 6622). The estimated payment for out of jurisdiction service provided by the City of Tempe is \$1,704,786. Revenue will be deposited to cost center 3911, account 4218.

RECOMMENDATION: That the City Council authorize the Mayor to sign intergovernmental agreements amendments with the City of Phoenix for bus service and with the RPTA for fixed route bus service, dial-a-ride service, and regional customer service activities.

Approved by:

Howard C. Hargis Public Works Manager

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO AGREEMENT NO. 88400

(City of Tempe Agreement No. C2000-146)

THIS AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO AGREEMENT NO. 88400 is made and entered into this ____ day of January, 2001, by and between the City of Phoenix, a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the state of Arizona (hereinafter referred to as "PHOENIX"), and the City of Tempe, a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the state of Arizona (hereinafter referred to as "TEMPE").

WHEREAS, on or about July 12, 2000, the parties did enter into Agreement No. 88400 (City of Tempe Agreement No. C2000-146) (hereinafter referred to as the "Principal Agreement") pursuant to which PHOENIX provides transit service to TEMPE; and,

WHEREAS, Exhibit "A" to the Principal Agreement sets forth the compensation provisions of said Agreement for fiscal year 1999-2000; and,

WHEREAS, the parties intend to Amend Exhibit "A" to the Principal Agreement to set forth the compensation provisions of said Agreement for fiscal year 2000-2001; and,

WHEREAS, the parties intend to Amend Section I, Subsection E, to correct an internal reference pertaining to Exhibit "A"; NOW, THEREFORE,

SECTION 1. That Exhibit "A" to the Principal Agreement be, and it is hereby, amended to read, in its entirety in accordance with the new Exhibit "A"

IT IS HEREBY AGREED, by and between the parties, as follows:

attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein.

SECTION 2. That Section I, Subsection E to the Principal Agreement be, and the same is hereby, amended to read, in its entirety, as follows:

"E. Notification.

Based upon available information, PHOENIX shall notify TEMPE as soon as reasonably practicable if the maximum contract costs for service, as estimated herein, appears to be insufficient to pay the actual cost of service provided. Thereafter, the amount due hereunder shall be adjusted to reflect the new estimate of the cost of service. There shall also be a final year-end reconciliation as described in **Exhibit "A," paragraph E**."

SECTION 3. The effective date of the amendments set forth herein shall be July 1, 2000.

SECTION 4. All of the rest, residue and remainder of the Principal Agreement, not hereinbefore specifically amended by Sections 1 and 2 hereof, shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. 88400 (City of Tempe Agreement No. C2000-146) on the day and year first above written. CITY OF PHOENIX, a municipal corporation FRANK FAIRBANKS, City Manager By: _____ Neal E. Manske ATTEST: Public Transit Director City Clerk - PHOENIX APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED BY PHOENIX CITY COUNCIL BY FORMAL ACTION ON CITY OF TEMPE, ARIZONA A Municipal Corporation By: ______ Neil Giuiliano, Mayor ATTEST: City Clerk - TEMPE APPROVED AS TO FORM: City Attorney - TEMPE APPROVED BY TEMPE CITY COUNCIL BY FORMAL ACTION ON _____.

ASM:bjt::109771v1 12/21/00

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT DETERMINATION

In accordance with the requirements of Section 11-952(D), Arizona Revised Statutes, each of the undersigned attorneys acknowledge: (1) that they have reviewed the above Amendment on behalf of their respective clients; and, (2) that, as to their respective clients only, each attorney has determined that this Agreement is in proper form and is within the powers and authority granted under the laws of the State of Arizona.

Aftorney for PHOENIX

Attorney for TEMPE

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT AMENDMENT

As of the 1st day of July, 2000, this amends the following items of the Transit Service Agreement entered into between the City of Tempe and the RPTA, dated the 1st day of July, 1998, as amended as of July 1, 1999.

The following sections replace and supersede those sections of the agreement entered into July 1, 1998, as amended as of July 1, 1999:

SECTION 1: SERVICE AREA AND TRANSIT SERVICES

The service area and service shall be designated and defined in Schedule A and shall be operated during the term of this Agreement.

SECTION 4: CITY RESPONSIBILITY

- 4.4 The CITY shall pay the RPTA \$2,570,110 in accordance with Schedule D, for all expenses incurred by the RPTA in the operation of Routes 30, 61, 72, 81, 520 and 521.
- 4.5 Payments made by the CITY to the RPTA for operation of Routes 30, 61, 72, 81, 520 and 521, shall consist of four (4) equal installments of \$642,527.50 and shall be made as follows:

Payment No. 1 is due within thirty (30) calendar days following the execution of this Agreement by both parties.

Payment No. 2 is due on or before December 1, of each year.

Payment No. 3 is due on or before January 1, of each year.

Payment No. 4 is due on or before April 1, each year.

Late payments shall be subject to a 12% annual charge computed on a monthly basis.

The attached Schedule A replaces and supercedes Schedule A entered into July 1, 1999.

The attached Schedule C replaces and supercedes Schedule C entered into July 1, 1999.

The attached Schedule D replaces and supercedes Schedule D entered into July 1, 1999

The attached Schedule E replaces and supercedes Schedule E entered into July 1, 1999.

The following sections add to those sections of the agreement entered into July 1, 1999:

SECTION 3: RPTA RESPONSIBILITY

- 3.4 The RPTA shall pay City \$1,704,786, in accordance with Schedule D, for a prorated portion of the expenses incurred by City in the operation of Routes 30, 45, 56, 77, 92 and 108.
- 3.5 Payments made by the RPTA to the City for operation of Routes 30, 45, 56, 77, 92 and 108 shall consist of four (4) equal installments and shall be made as follows:

Payment No. 1 is due within thirty (30) calendar days following the execution of this Agreement by both parties.

Payment No. 2 is due on or before December 1, of each year.

Payment No. 3 is due on or before January 1, of each year.

Payment No. 4 is due on or before April 1, each year.

Late payments shall be subject to a 12% annual charge computed on a monthly basis.

| | | ated this Agreement as of the |
|---------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| of | , 2000. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| V: | | By: 19 France Commence |
| Neil Guiliano | | G. Kenneth Driggs |
| Mayor | | Executive Director |

| ATTEST: Tempe City Clerk | ATTEST: Bryan Jungwirth, Director of Planning and Partnerships |
|------------------------------------|---|
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: City of Tempe | APPROVED AS TO FORM: Regional Public Transportation Authority |

SCHEDULE A

The following routes are funded in whole or in part by the RPTA to the benefit of the City of Tempe and RPTA.

| Routes | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| 61 | | | | |
| 72 | | | | |
| 81 | | | | |
| 44/92 | | | | |
| 520 | | | | |
| 521 | | | | |

531 (Express service through Tempe with no stops in Tempe)

532 (Express service through Tempe with no stops in Tempe)

540

541 (Express service through Tempe with no stops in Tempe)
Red Line

The following routes are funded in whole or in part by the City of Tempe and/or RPTA to the benefit of either party.

Routes

30

45

56

Schedule C

CITY OF TEMPE

Conventional Transit Services

| (a) | Regional Bus Service (including express) | \$ 1 | 1,854,610 |
|----------------|---|------|-----------|
| Community Fund | ed Transportation Services | \$ | 55,602* |
| | tion Assistance Funds diture requirement) | \$ | 319,718 |

Fiscal Year 2000-01

^{*}Reimbursement based upon statement of services provided on a quarterly basis.

SCHEDULE D

City of Tempe Cost Estimate for RPTA Service

For the period July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2001 it is estimated that the City of Tempe will pay the Regional Public Transportation Authority **§2,570,110** for service on Routes 30, 61, 72, 81, 520 and 521.

| RPTA | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-----------|--------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| Contracted | | | | | | |
| Service Funded | | | | | | |
| by City of | | | | | | |
| Tempe | | | and a second | | | |
| Contract | | | | | | |
| Estimate for FY | | | | analase tra | | |
| 2000-2001 | | | | | | |
| Weekday | | | | 25.5 | RPTA | |
| Service | | | | | | |
| Jurisdiction | Route | Miles | Costs | Fares | Admin | Net Cost |
| Chandler | 72 | 29,279.1 | \$95,157 | \$23,285 | \$1,012 | \$72,885 |
| Chandler | 81 | 4,597.4 | \$15,382 | \$1,484 | \$139 | \$14,037 |
| Mesa | 30 | 20,193.0 | \$60,959 | \$9,144 | \$0 | \$51,815 |
| Mesa | 61 | 29,802.5 | \$96,858 | \$32,717 | \$1,030 | \$65,172 |
| Phoenix | 520 | 2,768.6 | \$8,998 | \$1,649 | \$96 | \$7,445 |
| Phoenix | 521 | 5,486.4 | \$17,831 | \$5,019 | \$190 | \$13,002 |
| Scottsdale | 72 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Tempe * | 30 | 135,836.4 | \$410,066 | \$61,510 | \$0 | \$348,556 |
| Tempe | 61 | 94,998.2 | \$308,744 | \$70,099 | \$3,283 | \$241,929 |
| Tempe | 72 | 177,669.6 | \$577,426 | \$139,977 | \$6,139 | \$443,588 |
| Tempe | 81 | 249,514.4 | \$775,950 | \$70,673 | \$7,566 | \$712,842 |
| Tempe | 520 | 1,651.0 | \$5,366 | \$1,439 | \$57 | \$3,984 |
| Tempe | 521 | 3,860.8 | \$12,548 | \$4,995 | \$133 | \$7,686 |
| Total Weekday | | 755,657.4 | \$2,385,284 | \$421,989 | \$19,645 | \$1,982,940 |

| Saturday | | | | | RPTA | |
|--|-------|---|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Service | | | | | | |
| Jurisdiction | Route | Miles | Costs | Fares | Admin | Net Cost |
| Chandler | 72 | 5,826.5 | \$18,936 | \$2,935 | \$201 | \$16,202 |
| Mesa | 30 | 4,213.5 | \$12,720 | \$1,908 | \$0 | \$10,812 |
| Mesa | 61 | 8,537.6 | \$27,747 | \$6,508 | \$295 | \$21,534 |
| Tempe * | 30 | \$27,182.8 | \$82,060 | \$12,309 | \$0 | \$69,751 |
| Tempe | 61 | 25,255.7 | \$82,081 | \$11,682 | \$873 | \$71,272 |
| Tempe | 72 | 32,463.8 | \$105,507 | \$21,164 | \$1,122 | \$85,465 |
| Tempe | 81 | 17,292.5 | \$56,720 | \$4,827 | \$524 | \$52,417 |
| Total Saturday | | 120,772.4 | \$385,771 | \$61,334 | \$3,015 | \$327,452 |
| Sunday Service | | A PACE AND | | | RPTA | |
| Jurisdiction | Route | Miles | Costs | Fares | Admin | Net Cost |
| | | | | | | |
| Chandler | 72 | 5,220.1 | \$16,965 | \$949 | \$180 | \$16,196 |
| Mesa | 61 | 3,449.7 | \$11,212 | \$1,492 | \$119 | \$9,838 |
| Tempe | 61 | 11,169.9 | \$36,302 | \$3,841 | \$386 | \$32,848 |
| Tempe | 72 | 40,351.0 | \$131,141 | \$13,765 | \$1,394 | \$118,770 |
| Tempe | 81 | 24,065.5 | \$84,978 | \$3,641 | \$730 | \$820,067 |
| Total Sunday | | 84,256.2 | \$280,598 | \$23,688 | \$2,809 | \$259,718 |
| Total Tempe | | 960,686.0 | \$3,051,653 | \$507,011 | \$25,469 | \$2,570,110 |
| Service | | | | | | |
| * Operated through Mesa's contract with Forsythe | | | | | | |

Schedule D Continued

RPTA Cost Estimate for City of Tempe Services

For the period July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2001, it is estimated that the Regional Public Transportation Authority will pay the City of Tempe:

| l | 1 | F J | Thy of Temp | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | 20.15 | # . # . O. | 1100 | \$1,704,786 | | |
| for service on Ro | utes 30, 45 | , 56, 77, 92 | 2 and 108. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Weekday | | | | | | |
| Service | | | | | | |
| Jurisdiction | Route | Miles | Costs | Fares | Admin | Net Cost |
| Phoenix | 30 | 1,704 | \$5,352 | \$535 | \$0 | \$4,817 |
| Phoenix | 45 | 114,380 | \$359,153 | \$71,831 | \$0 | \$287,323 |
| Mesa | 45 | 264,080 | \$829,212 | \$124,382 | \$0 | \$704,830 |
| Phoenix | 56* | 19,653 | \$61,710 | \$5,610 | \$0 | \$56,100 |
| Phoenix | 77 | 71,449 | \$224,351 | \$33,653 | \$0 | \$190,698 |
| Tempe/Phoenix | 92 | 22,454 | \$70,504 | \$10,576 | \$0 | \$59,929 |
| Chandler | 108 | 6,734 | \$21,145 | \$634 | \$0 | \$20,510 |
| Gilbert | 108 | 18,086 | \$56,789 | \$1,704 | \$0 | \$55,085 |
| Mesa | 108 | 4,329 | \$13,593 | \$408 | \$0 | \$13,185 |
| Total Weekday | | 522,869 | \$1,641,809 | \$249,332 | \$0 | \$1,392,477 |
| * RPTA annual p | ayment is f | ixed at \$5 | 6,100 for Ro | ite 56. | | |
| Saturday | | | | | | |
| present was surely | 1 | | 1 | | | |
| Service Service | | | | | | |
| g | Route | Miles | Costs | Fares | Admin | Net Cost |
| Service | Route 30 | | | Fares | I | Net Cost \$1,707 |
| Service Jurisdiction | | | \$1,897 | \$190 | \$0 | |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix | 30 | 604 | \$1,897 \$59,764 | \$190 \$11,953 | \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix | 30 45 | 604 19,033 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 | \$190 \$11,953 | \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa | 30 45 45 | 604 19,033 47,629 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa Phoenix | 30 45 45 77 | 604 19,033 47,629 15,488 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 \$4,286 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 \$4,158 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa Phoenix Chandler | 30 45 45 77 108 | 604 19,033 47,629 15,488 1,365 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 \$4,286 \$11,511 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 \$129 \$345 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 \$4,158 \$11,166 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa Phoenix Chandler Gilbert | 30 45 45 77 108 108 | 604 19,033 47,629 15,488 1,365 3,666 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 \$4,286 \$11,511 \$2,755 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 \$129 \$345 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 \$4,158 \$11,166 \$2,673 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa Phoenix Chandler Gilbert Mesa | 30 45 45 77 108 108 | 604 19,033 47,629 15,488 1,365 3,666 878 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 \$4,286 \$11,511 \$2,755 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 \$129 \$345 \$83 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 \$4,158 \$11,166 \$2,673 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa Phoenix Chandler Gilbert Mesa | 30 45 45 77 108 108 | 604 19,033 47,629 15,488 1,365 3,666 878 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 \$4,286 \$11,511 \$2,755 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 \$129 \$345 \$83 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 \$4,158 \$11,166 \$2,673 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa Phoenix Chandler Gilbert Mesa Total Saturday | 30 45 45 77 108 108 | 604 19,033 47,629 15,488 1,365 3,666 878 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 \$4,286 \$11,511 \$2,755 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 \$129 \$345 \$83 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 \$4,158 \$11,166 \$2,673 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa Phoenix Chandler Gilbert Mesa Total Saturday Sunday/Holiday | 30 45 45 77 108 108 108 | 604 19,033 47,629 15,488 1,365 3,666 878 88,663 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 \$4,286 \$11,511 \$2,755 \$278,401 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 \$129 \$345 \$83 \$42,427 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 \$4,158 \$11,166 \$2,673 \$235,974 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa Phoenix Chandler Gilbert Mesa Total Saturday Sunday/Holiday Jurisdiction | 30 45 45 77 108 108 108 Service Route | 604 19,033 47,629 15,488 1,365 3,666 878 88,663 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 \$4,286 \$11,511 \$2,755 \$278,401 Costs \$14,884 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 \$129 \$345 \$83 \$42,427 Fares \$1,488 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 \$4,158 \$11,166 \$2,673 \$235,974 Net Cost \$13,395 |
| Service Jurisdiction Phoenix Phoenix Mesa Phoenix Chandler Gilbert Mesa Total Saturday Sunday/Holiday Jurisdiction Phoenix | 30 45 45 77 108 108 108 Service Route | 604 19,033 47,629 15,488 1,365 3,666 878 88,663 Miles 4,740 | \$1,897 \$59,764 \$149,556 \$48,632 \$4,286 \$11,511 \$2,755 \$278,401 Costs \$14,884 \$34,069 | \$190 \$11,953 \$22,433 \$7,295 \$129 \$345 \$83 \$42,427 Fares \$1,488 \$6,814 \$6,297 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Admin \$0 \$0 | \$1,707 \$47,811 \$127,123 \$41,337 \$4,158 \$11,166 \$2,673 \$235,974 Net Cost \$13,395 \$27,255 \$35,685 |

| Schedule D Continued Annual Totals | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|---------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| Jurisdiction | Route | Miles | Costs | Fares | Admin | Net Cost |
| Phoenix | 30 | 7,048 | \$22,132 | \$2,213 | \$0 | \$19,919 |
| Phoenix | 45 | 144,263 | \$452,986 | \$90,597 | \$0 | \$362,389 |
| Mesa | 45 | 311,710 | \$978,768 | \$146,815 | \$0 | \$831,953 |
| Phoenix | 56* | 19,653 | \$61,710 | \$5,610 | \$0 | \$56,100 |
| Phoenix | 77 | 100,307 | \$314,965 | \$47,245 | \$0 | \$267,720 |
| Tempe/Phoenix | 92 | 22,454 | \$70,504 | \$10,576 | \$0 | \$59,929 |
| Chandler | 108 | 8,099 | \$25,431 | \$763 | \$0 | \$24,668 |
| Gilbert | 108 | 21,752 | \$68,300 | \$2,049 | \$0 | \$66,251 |
| Mesa | 108 | 5,207 | \$16,348 | \$490 | \$0 | \$15,858 |
| Total | | 640,492 | \$2,011,144 | \$306,358 | \$0 | \$1,704,786 |

Schedule E

Regional Services Costs

For the period July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2001 the City of Tempe will pay the Regional Public Transportation Authority \$842,419 for regional customer communications and customer services costs. This amount shall be billed in four equal installments and will be reconciled after June 30, 2000. Additional miles of service provided by CITY, either directly or indirectly provided shall result in an adjustment of regional mileage share and costs.

| Total Miles Purchased | Regional Mileage Share | Total Cost |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|
| 3,951,580 | 21.7% | \$842,419 |

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT AMENDMENT

As of the 1st day of July, 2000, this amends the following items of the East Valley Dial-a-Ride Agreement entered into between the City of Tempe and the RPTA, dated the 1st day of July, 1999.

The following section replaces and supersedes that section of the agreement entered into July 1, 1999.

The attached Schedule C replaces and supercedes Schedule C entered into July 1, 1999.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Attorney

APPROVED AS TØ FORM:

Regional Public Transportation Authority

SCHEDULE C

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

I. Sources of Project Operating Budget:

FY 2000-2001

For the period of time July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001, the City of Tempe will pay RPTA **\$811,184** for provision of East Valley Dial-a-Ride services in four (4) quarterly installments of **\$202,796** at the beginning of each calendar quarter.

The amount set forth above may include an appropriation by the RPTA Board of Directors of Community Funded Transportation (CFT) dollars. Any appropriation for FY 2000-2001 CFT funding shall not determine subsequent appropriations and all such appropriations during the term of this Agreement shall be at the sole discretion of the RPTA Board of Directors.

Funding for the provision of dial-a-ride services under this agreement will be proportionately allocated to each city participating in the East Valley Dial-a-Ride based upon the number of vehicle revenue service hours (VRSH) provided in the respective city. VRSH shall be allocated between the Cities based upon the actual number of minutes vehicle(s) are located within the geographic boundaries of each city. VRSH shall accrue from the moment a vehicle picks-up its first passenger at the beginning of a daily shift until the vehicle drops-off its last passenger at the end of a daily shift. VRSH shall exclude any time (such as mechanical breakdown time and lunch breaks) when the vehicle is not available to provide revenue generating passenger transportation. RPTA shall maintain driver logs and/or dispatcher records showing the times at which vehicles are in service and the times at which vehicles enter and leave each city's boundaries, together with all other information necessary to determine VRSH as described herein.

At the end of each fiscal year, RPTA will conduct a financial reconciliation of the cost of service (including any administrative fees). RPTA shall credit each City participating in the East Valley Dial-a-Ride its appropriate share of federal revenues received based upon VRSH incurred in the fiscal year as applicable.

II. Projected Service Level: FY 2000-2001

(For the period July 1, 2000 - June 30, 2001)

A. Revenue Hours:

Tempe:

19,503

III. Administrative Fee

Included in the estimated operating budget above, is a fee of \$44,990 charged by RPTA to administer, monitor, and market the project. The administrative fee has been divided among the Cities based upon the actual number of VRSH provided in each City. The Administrative Fee, and all service fees, will be due on a quarterly basis, commencing July 1, 2000.

IV. Capital Expenses

Exclusive to the estimated operating budget above, RPTA will incur the following estimated capital expenses on behalf of CITY. CITY shall reimburse RPTA within 30 days of receipt of invoice for its appropriate prorata share of capital costs which are estimated below:

A. Purchase of 6 vehicles for EVDAR service = \$30,600

B. Purchase of Y2K compliant computer equipment hardware and software for automated scheduling and dispatching system = \$18,671.